

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/643,221 | 08/19/2003 | Yasuhiro Yoshioka | 2870-0264P | 3448 |
| 2292 BIRCH STEW | 7590 09/12/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 747 | | CHEA, THORL | | |
| FALLS CHUR | CH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1795 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | 10/643,221 | YOSHIOKA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thorl Chea | 1795 | |

| • | LAUIIIIICI | Aironic | 1 | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Thorl Chea | 1795 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavities (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | vhich places the r (3) a Request | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if Checked. Any rephy received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee te action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | halas la | . 07 | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 September 2008</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 1.37(e)), to avoid disr | nissal of the | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | , | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further columns. | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imal, filed emendmen | at concelling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). would be all | lowable ir submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | rided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1-5,10,11 and 22-25. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 26 and 27. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 12-16 and 19-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered businessed Continuation Sheet. | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /T.C./ | /Thorl Chea/ | | | | | | |
| September 9, 2008 | Primary Examiner, | | | | | | |
| | Art Unit 1795 | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the prvious office action. The statement Evidencing Common Ownership is incorrect with resect to the statement of "U.S. Patent to Ishisuka et al". The U.S. Patent presented in the rejection is Patent No. 6140.03 issue to Ishizuka et al" not 'o Tshisuka et al".

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS on 09/05/2008 will be considered in the next office action on the merit.